## **MEMORANDUM**

**To:** Board of Regents

From: Board Office

**Subject:** Residency Classification Appeal

**Date:** January 19, 2005

## **Recommended Action:**

Affirm the decision of the Board's Interinstitutional Residency Classification Review Committee. (**Agenda Item 15 Attachment A**).

## **Executive Summary:**

Residency Classification Appeal An individual appeals the final institutional decision that she does not meet the requirements for residency status under the lowa Administrative Code. The Board has in its Exhibit Book a confidential exhibit pertaining to this appeal.

Institutional Procedures Exhausted The individual exhausted all institutional procedures, before appealing to the Board, as follows:

- 1. On April 14, 2004, she filed an Application for Resident Classification (For Admission and Tuition Purposes) with the Office of the Registrar for the university she wants to attend. (Exhibit Attachment A).
- 2. On May 19, 2004, the Associate Registrar sent the Office's decision that the individual did not qualify for resident status for the 2005 summer session. (Exhibit Attachment B).
- 3. On July 9, 2004, the individual appealed the Registrar's decision to the university's Nonresident Review Committee and met with the Committee on August 18, 2004. (Exhibit Attachment C).
- 4. On August 19, 2004, the Nonresident Review Committee sent the individual its decision that she did not meet the requirements for resident classification set forth in the Iowa Administrative Code. (Exhibit Attachment D).
- 5. On November 4, 2004, the university's Office of the Registrar received the individual's appeal to the Board of Regents. (Exhibit Attachment E).
- 6. On November 5, 2004, the university's Office of the Registrar forwarded the appeal and relevant documents to the Board of Regents. (Exhibit Attachment F).

Interinstitutional Residency Classification Review Committee decision On December 3, 2004, the appeal and relevant documents were sent to the Board's Interinstitutional Residency Classification Review Committee, which is comprised of the Vice Presidents of Student Affairs at the three Regents universities. On December 22, 2004, the Committee met and made its decision to support the final institutional decision for the reasons stated in **Agenda Item 15 Attachment A**.

Final agency action

If the Board adopts the recommended action, this will represent final agency action. The individual may then pursue judicial review as permitted by law.

Susan F Anderson

Approved:

H:\HR\Docket 2005\February\0205\_ITEM 15.doc

TO: Greg Nichols

**Executive Director** 

Board of Regents, State of Iowa

FROM: Renee Romano

Vice President for Educational and Student Services

University of Northern Iowa

SUBJ: Residency Appeal

DATE: December 22, 2004

The Interinstitutional Residency Classification Review Committee met to review the appeal of [the individual] for residency status. The committee determined that she does not meet the requirements for residency under the Iowa administrative code.

Since coming to Iowa in June of 2000, [the individual] has been continuously enrolled as a full-time graduate student. Although there are other non-conclusive factors that may be considered such as voter registration, license and other family (child) in the state, the fact that her primary reason for being in the state is to be a student is the primary consideration in determination of residency.

The Residency Classification Review Committee supports the decision of the Nonresident Review Committee and the Office of the Registrar.

cc: Dr. Thomas Hill, Vice President for Student Affairs, Iowa State University

Dr. Phillip Jones, Vice President for Student Services, University of Iowa

 $H:\ \ HE\ \ Docket\ 2005\ \ February\ \ \ 0205\_ITEM\ 15\ Attachment.doc$